UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,082	01/29/2007	Davy Jacops	S1022.71021US00	6131
46329 STMicroelectro	7590 08/23/201 onics Inc.	EXAMINER		
	EENFIELD & SACKS	VERDI, KIMBLEANN C		
BOSTON, MA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2194	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patents\_eOfficeAction@WolfGreenfield.com S1022\_eOfficeAction@WolfGreenfield.com PAIR@wolfgreenfield.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/561,082	JACOPS ET AL.	
Fugueline i	A 4 11 14	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	KimbleAnn Verdi	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing to). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ().	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further core  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	TE below);	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.174.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor See Continuation Sheet. owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 9-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	☑ will not be entered, or b) □ will ided below or appended.	l be entered and an e:	xplanation of
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10.		•	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Hyung S. SOUGH/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2194 August 17, 2010			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendment to claims 1, 10, 14, 17, 18, 20, and 22-23 require the examiner to perform an additional search and examination. Amendment to claims 1,10,14, 17, 18, 20, and 22-23 contains new issue which further limits the scope of the claim. A computer readable mediume encoded with software routines for use by an embedded software application requiring software (SW) protocol layers, profiles and/or application code embedded on a processor, the software routines, when executed, providing an interface between the embedded software application running on the processor and the SW protocol layers and/or the profiles and/or the application code... wherein the interface assigns priorities to tasks of the embedded software application such that the tasks of the embedded software application are interrupted by OS tasks.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S,C, 112 rejection of claim 3, the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claims 1-8 since the computer readable storage medium is limited to a non-transitory computer readable storage medium as disclosed in the specification on page 4, lines 26-28, and the objections to the drawings and specification.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as stated in the Final Office action dated 5/12//2010, page 6, item 9 through page 12, item 45, Motorola as modified by Atkinson et al. teaches a library of software program products, the library comprising a set of routines for an embedded software application requiring software (SW) protocol layers, profiles and/or application code embedded on a processor, the library providing further comprising software for an interface between the software application running on the processor and the SW protocol layers and/or the profiles and/or the application code, the interface and the SW protocol layers communicating towards an underlying operating system (OS) through an abstraction layer that maps OS-independent function calls to OS-specific function calls.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified API of Motorola with the teachings of software support layer from Atkinson because this feature would have provided a mechanism to abstract out a particular processor architecture, and if an operating system is also used, the nature of the connectivity between a particular operating system the processor operates under (paragraph [0050], lines 45-50 of Atkinson).